



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 08/961,956  | 10/31/1997    | JOSE SANCHO ROYO     | B-3379-61628            | 8284             |
| 75  | 90 11/30/2001 |                      |                         |                  |
| LADAS & PARRY<br>5670 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD<br>SUITE 2100<br>LOS ANGELES, CA 90036 |               |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
|   |               |                      | PASTERCZYK, JAMES W     |                  |
|   |               |                      | ART UNIT                | DARED MUMPED     |
|   |               |                      | ARTONII                 | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |               |                      | 1755                    | $\gamma$         |
|   |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 11/30/2001 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary





Application No.

Applicant(s) 08/961,956

Royo et al.

Examiner

J. Pasterczyk

Art Unit 1755



| The MAILING DATE of this of   | communication appears or   | n the cover sheet with  | the correspondence address           |  |  |
|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extend   | MUNICATION.  Her the provisions of 37 CFR ng date of this communication less than thirty (30) days, and, the maximum statutory period for reply will, by some three months after the name of the state o | 1.136 (a). In no event, ion. The reply within the statutor riod will apply and will extatute, cause the applica | however, may a reply be timely filed |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |                                      |  |  |
| 1) X Responsive to communicatio   | n(s) filed on <u>Aug 30, 20</u>  | 001   |                                      |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .   | 2b) X This action  |   |                                      |  |  |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. |  |   |                                      |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   | ·                                    |  |  |
| 4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>56-96 and 98-109</u>   |  |   | is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |
|   |  |   | is/are withdrawn from consideration. |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s)   |  | <del></del>   | is/are allowed.                      |  |  |
| 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>56-93, 108, and 10</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)   | 9  |   | is/are rejected.                     |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s)   |  |   | is/are objected to.                  |  |  |
|   | Claims 56-96 and 98-109 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |                                      |  |  |
| Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on <u>O</u> 11) □ The proposed drawing corre  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected  | ct 31, 1997 is/are o   | is: a)□    a  |                                      |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |                                      |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made   | of a claim for foreign pri<br>None of:   | ority under 35 U.S.C.   | § 119(a)-(d).                        |  |  |
|   | priority documents have  | e been received.  | ,                                    |  |  |
| * *   | priority documents have  |   | plication No.                        |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified  | copies of the priority do<br>the International Burea   | cuments have been roll (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | eceived in this National Stage       |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made   |  |   |                                      |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |   | •                                    |  |  |
| 15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  |  | 18] Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  |                                      |  |  |
| 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re  | view (PTO-948)   | 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |                                      |  |  |
| 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1  | 449) Paper No(s)   | 20) Other:  |                                      |  |  |



Application/Control Number: 08/961956

Art Unit: 1755

- 1. This Office action is in response to the preliminary amendment filed 8/30/01 and refers to the rejection mailed 10/17/00 in the parent of this CPA case. Claims 56-93, 108 and 109 are under consideration with claims 94-96 and 98-107 withdrawn due to a previous restriction requirement.
- 2. The drawings are objected to because in corrected figure II, the middle structure on the right has a Me group detached from its silicon atom. Correction is required.
- 3. Claims 56-93, 108 and 109 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 109 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.

In claims 56, 57, 65, 66, 75 and 84, "linear cycloalkyl, linear aryl, branched cycloalkyl, and branched aryl" all make no sense since aryl groups cannot be branched themselves, nor does linear even apply to the class of group, or can a purely cycloalkyl group have branches nor does linear apply to the class of group.

In claims 56, 65 and 66, it is not clear what is meant by "according to a value of c".

In claims 56, 65 and 66, defining G as being an atom selected from groups 15 and 16 of the periodic table and boron without requiring at least one of the G groups to be a



Application/Control Number: 08/961956

Art Unit: 1755

cyclopentadienyl-containing group is contrary to the definition earlier in the claims that these compounds are necessarily metallocenes.

In claims 56, 65 and 66, defining x + y to be 4 is contrary to the metal being either from group 3 or being a lanthanide other than cerium, since these metals can only be in the 3+ oxidation state in order to give an electrically neutral compound as these appear to necessarily be.

In claims 59, 77 and 86, in the second line of each, the siloxy group should have a subscript 3 after the R".

In claims 57, 61, 62, 75, 79, 80, 84, 88 and 89, the variable R' is undefined.

In claim 61, insert --and-- before "propyl" in the penultimate line. Similarly in claims 79 and 88.

Claims 65 and 66 lack steps that include addition of the required cocatalyst. In the third line of step (a) of claim 66, it is not clear what is meant by "heterogenize".

Claim 70 is merely a repeat of claim 58 and this fails to further limit it.

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35 US Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 56-64 and 69-74 and 109 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over any of Vega, Gila I, Antberg, or Patsidis as cited in and for the reasons of record given in paragraph 5 of the previous Office action.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 08/961956

Art Unit: 1755

6. Claims 56-65 and 69-74 and 109 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Gila II as cited in and for the reasons of record given in paragraph 6 of the previous Office action. The claims are read as product-by-process claims with the process being the combination of the metallocene with the support, the product reading on that presently claimed.

- 7. Claims 56-93 and 108-109 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any of Vega, Gila I, Gila II, Antberg or Patsidis in view of Huh as cited in and for the reasons of record given in paragraph 7 of the previous Office action.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Pasterczyk whose telephone number is (703) 308-3497. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached on (703) 308-3823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-5433.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

J. Pasterczyk

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700

. 11/13/01